



Baldev Singh Kang,  
House No. 39, Sector – 5,  
Chandigarh  
(M.- 99882 46123)

...Appellant

**Versus**

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o The Naib Tehsildar,  
Majri, Distt. - S.A.S. Nagar (Mohali).

**First Appellate Authority**  
O/o The Sub Divisional Magistrate,  
Kharar, Distt. - S.A.S. Nagar (Mohali)(Punjab)

...Respondents

**Appeal Case No. 5350 of 2021**

Present : Sh. Vikram Singh, Advocate on behalf of the appellant.  
i) Sh. Karamjit Singh, Naib Tehsildar (95012-11244), in person ;  
ii) Sh. Amrinder Singh, Patwari (79737-48484) ;  
iii) Sh. Amandeep Singh, Reader ( 986781-52406), on behalf of the respondent.

**ORDER**

The RTI request is dated 12.04.2021. First appeal is dated 22.07.2021. Second appeal has been received in the Commission on 29.11.2021.

2. Sh. Karamjit Singh, Naib Tehsildar , who appeared in person alongwith Sh. Amrinder Singh, Patwari and Sh. Amandeep Singh, Reader, in today's hearing, states that the whatever information is available in the official-record has already been supplied to the appellant and for rest of the information (which is not available in the official-record) a reply has been given to the appellant. He also submits a reply dated 21.07.2022 in an affidavit in response to the show-cause, issued vide orders dated 09.05.2022. It is taken on record.

3. After going through the written-submission dated 21.07.2022, sent by the concerned PIO and finding the explanation genuine, no cause of action is required to be taken in this case, hence, the show cause issued vide orders dated 23.05.2022 is **dropped**.

4. Sh. Vikram Singh, Advocate, who appeared on behalf of the appellant, Sh. Baldev Singh Kang in today's hearing, expresses his dissatisfaction over the information supplied to him by the respondent PIO.

4. Nevertheless, last opportunity is given to the respondent PIO concerned to either supply the information or send a reply to the queries raised by the appellant through his RTI request to the appellant with a copy to the Commission by the next date of hearing.

5. With this, the case is **adjourned to 27<sup>th</sup> July, 2022 (Wednesday) at 12:00 P. M. for hearing.**

6. The next date of hearing has been announced to both the parties in the open court.

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date : 22<sup>nd</sup> July, 2022

(Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon)  
State Information Commissioner  
Punjab



Ms. Kiran Sharma  
W/o Late Sh. Jagdish Sharma,  
Street No. 42, Preet Nagar,  
Shimlapuri, Ludhiana (Punjab)  
(793081420)

....Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o The Director General of Police, Punjab  
Police Head Quarter,  
Sector 9, Chandigarh

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/o The Director General of Police, Punjab  
Police Head Quarter,  
Sector 9, Chandigarh

...Respondents

**Appeal Case No. 379 of 2022**

Present : Sh. Sushil Kumar, on behalf of the appellant.  
i) Sh. Ramesh Kumar, A. S. I.(78378-03000) O/o Commissioner of Police,  
Ludhiana ;  
ii) Sh. Ravi Kumar, Constable(98884-30990) O/o Commissioner of Police,  
Ludhiana ;  
iii) Sh. Purshotam Kumar, A. S. I. (94171-31510) O/o D.G.P., Pb.,  
Chandigarh, for the respondent

**ORDER**

The RTI request is dated 14.10.2021. First appeal is dated 22.11.2021. Second appeal has been received in the Commission on 11.01.2022.

2. Sh. Ramesh Kumar, A. S. I. and Sh. Ravi Kumar, Constable, both office of Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana and Sh. Purshotam Kumar, A. S. I. office of D.G.P., Pb., Chandigarh, who appeared in today's hearing, state that information demanded through RTI request is 'voluminous' in nature and seek an adjournment in this case .

3. Sh. Sushil Kumar, who appeared on behalf of the appellant, Ms. Kiran Sharma in today's hearing, states that irrelevant information has been given to the appellant by the respondent PIO.

4. Last opportunity is given to the respondent PIO concerned to send a point-wise reply to the queries raised by the appellant through her RTI request through registered post with a copy to the Commission by the next date of hearing.

7. Moreover, according to para 37 of the judgment passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in Civil Appeal nos. 6454 of 2011 in a case ; Central Board of Secondary Education Versus Aditya Bandhopadhyay & Ors. before Ld. Judges - R. V. Raveendran and A. K. Patnaik reads as under :

“ The right to information is a cherished right. Information and right to information are intended to be formidable tools in the hands of responsible citizens to fight corruption and to bring in transparency and accountability. The provisions of RTI Act should be enforced strictly and all efforts should be made to bring to light the

necessary information under clause (b) of section 4(1) of the Act which relates to securing transparency and accountability in the working of public authorities and in discouraging corruption. But in regard to other information,(that is information other than those enumerated in section 4(1)(b) and (c) of the Act), equal importance and emphasis are given to other public interests (like confidentiality of sensitive information, fidelity and fiduciary relationships, efficient operation of governments, etc.). Indiscriminate and impractical demands or directions under RTI Act for disclosure of all and sundry information (unrelated to transparency and accountability in the functioning of public authorities and eradication of corruption) would be counter-productive as it will adversely affect the efficiency of the administration and result in the executive getting bogged down with the non-productive work of collecting and furnishing information. The Act should not be allowed to be misused or abused, to become a tool to obstruct the national development and integration, or to destroy the peace, tranquility and harmony among its citizens. Nor should it be whatever converted into a tool of oppression or intimidation of honest officials striving to do their duty. The nation does not want a scenario where 75% of the staff of public authorities spends 75% of their time in collecting and furnishing information to applicants instead of discharging their regular duties. The threat of penalties under the RTI Act and the pressure of the authorities under the RTI Act should not lead to employees of a public authorities prioritizing 'information furnishing', at the cost of their normal and regular duties. “

Further, the appellant is hereby warned the appellant that the respondent PIO would only provide him the information as available in the official record.

5. With this, the case is **adjourned to 9<sup>th</sup> September, 2022 (Friday) at 12:00 P. M. for hearing.**

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date : 22<sup>nd</sup> July, 2022

**(Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon)**  
**State Information Commissioner**  
**Punjab**



D. R. Singla,  
H. No. 4961,  
Sector 38 (West), Chandigarh  
(M- 70870-79234)

.....Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o The Additional Deputy Commissioner  
(Urban Development),  
S.A.S. Nagar(Mohali) (Punjab)

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o The Director,  
Deptt. of Local Government, Punjab,  
Municipal Bhawan, Sector 35 - A,  
Plot No. 03, Chandigarh

...Respondents

**Appeal Case No. 1084 of 2022**

Present : Sh. D. R. Singla, the appellant in person.  
None on behalf of the respondent.

**ORDER**

The RTI request is dated 29.10.2021. First appeal is dated Nil. Second appeal has been received in the Commission on 03.03.2022.

2. The appellant, Sh. D. R. Singla, who appeared in person in today's hearing, states that no information has been given to him by the respondent PIO till date.

3. Neither the respondent PIO nor any of his representative is present in today's hearing.

4. After examining the documents placed on record, it is found that the respondent PIO has neither sent any intimation regarding his/her non-availability nor sent any forwarding letter (if the information has been sent to the appellant).

5. Nevertheless, another opportunity is given to the respondent PIO to send a copy of the supplied information (which was/would be supplied to the appellant) to the Commission and also to represent this case in person or through his representative on the next date of hearing positively so that facts of this case could be cleared.

6. With this, the case is **adjourned to 17<sup>th</sup> August, 2022 (Wednesday) at 12:00 P. M. for hearing.**

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date : 22<sup>nd</sup> July, 2022

(Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon)  
State Information Commissioner  
Punjab



Sushil Kumar  
H. No. 1410, Phase – I,  
Urban Estate, Dugri Road  
Ludhiana – 141013 (Punjab)  
(9814500575)

....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,  
O/o The Director General of Police, Pb.,  
Police Head Quarter,  
Sector - 9, Chandigarh

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o The Director General of Police, Pb.,  
Police Head Quarter,  
Sector - 9, Chandigarh

...Respondents

### **Appeal Case No. 4406 of 2021**

Present : Sh. Sushil Kumar , the appellant in person.  
i) Sh. Ramesh Kumar, A. S. I.(78378-03000) O/o Commissioner of Police,  
Ludhiana ;  
ii) Sh. Ravi Kumar, Constable(98884-30990) O/o Commissioner of Police,  
Ludhiana, for the respondent.

#### **ORDER**

The RTI request is dated 14.07.2021. First appeal is dated 12.08.2021. Second appeal has been received in the Commission on 29.09.2021.

2. Sh. Sushil Kumar, who appeared in today's hearing, states that no information has been given to him by the respondent PIO till date.

3. Sh. Ramesh Kumar, A. S. I. and Sh. Ravi Kumar, Constable, who appeared on behalf of the respondent PIO in today's hearing, state that the information demanded through RTI relates with Police Post – Dugri, Distt. Ludhiana and seeks an adjournment in this case.

4. Last opportunity is given to the respondent PIO concerned to send a point-wise reply to the queries raised by the appellant through his RTI request through registered post with a copy to the Commission by the next date of hearing

5. With this, the case is **adjourned to 9<sup>th</sup> September, 2022 (Friday) at 12:00 P. M. for hearing.**

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date : 22<sup>nd</sup> July, 2022

(Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon)  
State Information Commissioner  
Punjab



Ms. Neena Gupta  
W/o Sh Sushil Kumar,  
#1410, Phase 1, Urban Estate,  
Dugri, Ludhiana-141013 (Punjab)  
(M. 98550-14101)

...Appellant

Versus

i) **Public Information Officer,**  
O/o The Director General of Police, Punjab  
Police Head Quarter,  
Sector 9, Chandigarh

ii) **Public Information Officer,**  
(Regd. Post) O/o The Inspector General of Police, Pb.,  
(Admin. Wing),  
Bureau of investigation,  
Police Head Quarter,  
Sector - 9, Chandigarh

Encl: RTI request

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/o The Director General of Police, Punjab  
Police Head Quarter,  
Sector 9, Chandigarh

...Respondents

**Appeal Case No. 1498 of 2022**

Present : Sh. Sushil Kumar , on behalf of the appellant.  
i) Sh. Ramesh Kumar, A. S. I.(78378-03000) O/o Commissioner of Police,  
Ludhiana ;  
ii) Sh. Ravi Kumar, Constable(98884-30990) O/o Commissioner of Police,  
Ludhiana, for the respondent.

**ORDER**

The RTI request is dated 02.01.2022. First appeal is dated 03.02.2022. Second appeal has been received in the Commission on 25.03.2022.

2. Sh. Sushil Kumar, who appeared on behalf of the appellant, Ms. Neena Gupta in today's hearing, states that no information has been given to the appellant by the respondent PIO till date.

3. Sh. Ramesh Kumar, A. S. I. and Sh. Ravi Kumar, Constable, who appeared on behalf of the respondent PIO in today's hearing, state that the information demanded through RTI relates with office of Inspector General of Police/Admin. (Admin. Wing), Bureau of investigation Chandigarh and hence forwarded the same to that office vide letter no. 915 dated 10.03.2022. It is placed in the case-file.

Contd...2/-

4. As the information demanded by the appellant through RTI request, relates with office of Inspector General of Police/Admin. (Admin. Wing), Bureau of investigation, Chandigarh, hence, Public Information Officer office of office of Inspector General of Police/Admin. (Admin. Wing), Bureau of investigation Chandigarh, be impleaded as necessary party in this case with the directions to file a reply, in writing, to the query/queries (whichever relates to his office) raised by the appellant through her RTI request (copy attached). He/she (respondent-PIO concerned) is also directed to represent this case in person or through his representative on the next date of hearing fixed to clear the facts of this case. A copy of this order be sent to him alongwith RTI request through registered post.

5. The PIO office of Director General of Police, Punjab is directed to remain present or represent this case through his representative during the proceedings of the instant appeal-case on the next date fixed.

6. With this, the case is **adjourned to 9<sup>th</sup> September, 2022 (Friday) at 12:00 P. M. for hearing.**

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date : 22<sup>nd</sup> July, 2022

**(Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon)  
State Information Commissioner  
Punjab**

**Encl: RTI request**



Ms. Kiran Sharma

W/o Late Sh. Jagdish Sharma,  
Street No. 42, Preet Nagar,  
Shimlapuri, Ludhiana (Punjab)  
(793081420)

....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,  
O/o The Director General of Police, Punjab  
Police Head Quarter,  
Sector 9, Chandigarh

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o The Director General of Police, Punjab  
Police Head Quarter,  
Sector 9, Chandigarh

...Respondents

**Appeal Case No. 5455 of 2021**

Present : Sh. Sushil Kumar (9814500575) on behalf of the appellant.  
i) Sh. Ramesh Kumar, A. S. I. (78378-03000) O/o Commissioner of Police,  
Ludhiana ;  
ii) Sh. Ravi Kumar, Constable (98884-30990) O/o Commissioner of Police,  
Ludhiana, for the respondent.

**ORDER**

The RTI request is dated 13.09.2021. First appeal is dated 13.10.2021. Second appeal has been received in the Commission on 07.12.2021.

2. Sh. Sushil Kumar, who appeared on behalf of the appellant, Ms. Kiran Sharma in today's hearing, states that no information has been given to the appellant by the respondent PIO till date.

3. Sh. Ramesh Kumar, A. S. I. and Sh. Ravi Kumar, Constable, who appeared on behalf of the respondent PIO in today's hearing, state that the information demanded through RTI relates with Police Post - Dugri Distt. Ludhiana and seeks an adjournment in this case.

4. Last opportunity is given to the respondent PIO concerned to send a point-wise reply to the queries raised by the appellant through her RTI request through registered post with a copy to the Commission by the next date of hearing

5. With this, the case is **adjourned to 9<sup>th</sup> September, 2022 (Friday) at 12:00 P. M. for hearing.**

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date : 22<sup>nd</sup> July, 2022

(Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon)  
State Information Commissioner  
Punjab



Ms. Kiran Sharma

W/o Late Sh. Jagdish Sharma,  
Street No. 42, Preet Nagar,  
Shimlapuri, Ludhiana (Punjab)  
(793081420)

....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,  
O/o The Director General of Police, Punjab  
Police Head Quarter,  
Sector 9, Chandigarh

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o The Director General of Police, Punjab  
Police Head Quarter,  
Sector 9, Chandigarh

...Respondents

**Appeal Case No. 4941 of 2021**

Present : Sh. Sushil Kumar (9814500575) on behalf of the appellant.  
i) Sh. Ramesh Kumar, A. S. I.(78378-03000) O/o Commissioner of Police,  
Ludhiana ;  
ii) Sh. Ravi Kumar, Constable(98884-30990) O/o Commissioner of Police,  
Ludhiana, for the respondent.

**ORDER**

The RTI request is dated 02.08.2021. First appeal is dated 06.09.2021. Second appeal has been received in the Commission on 28.10.2021.

2. Sh. Ramesh Kumar, A. S. I. and Sh. Ravi Kumar, Constable, both office of Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana who appeared in today's hearing, state that the requisite information has already been supplied to the appellant.

3. The appellant, Sh. Sushil Kumar, who appeared on behalf of the appellant, Ms. Kiran Sharma in today's hearing, has intimated that part information is pending.

4. Last opportunity is given to the respondent PIO concerned to send a point-wise reply in an affidavit signed by the concerned PIO to the queries raised by the appellant through her RTI request through registered post with a copy to the Commission by the next date of hearing.

5. With this, the case is **adjourned to 9<sup>th</sup> September, 2022 (Friday) at 12:00 P. M. for hearing.**

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date : 22<sup>nd</sup> July, 2022

(Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon)  
State Information Commissioner  
Punjab



Dr. Neelam Sharma,  
Assistant Prof. (Education)  
Mehsampur Road,  
V.P.O. - Rurka Kalan,  
Tehsil – Phillaur,  
Distt. Jalandhar -144031 (Punjab)  
(M.-9878205000)

.....Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer,  
O/o The Director,  
Public Instructions (Colleges) Pb.,  
Vidhya Bhawan, P.S.E.B. Complex,  
Sector 68, S.A.S. Nagar (Mohali) (Punjab)

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o The Director,  
Public Instructions (Colleges) Pb.,  
Vidhya Bhawan, P.S.E.B. Complex,  
Sector 68, S.A.S. Nagar (Mohali) (Punjab)

...Respondents

### **Appeal Case No. 634 of 2022**

- Present :
- Dr. Neelam Sharma, the appellant in person.
  - i) Ms. Shalini, Superintendent-cum-APIO O/o D.P.I.(Colleges)(Pb.) S.A.S.Nagar (94175-00818) ;
  - ii) Ms. Ruchi Joshi, Senior Assistant /o D.P.I.(Colleges)(Pb.) S.A.S.Nagar (89687-96315) ;
  - iii) Sh. Navjeet Singh, Legal Assistant O/o D.P.I.(Colleges)(Pb.) S.A.S.Nagar (76969-11611) ;
  - iv) Ms. Surinder Jit Kaur, Principal-cum-PIO of Ramgarhia College of Education, Phagwara, for the respondent.

### **ORDER**

The RTI request is dated 21.05.2021. First appeal is dated 18.09.2021. Second appeal is dated 01.11.2021.

2. The appellant, Dr. Neelam Sharma, appeared in person in today's hearing
3. Ms. Surinder Jit Kaur, Principal-cum-PIO of Ramgarhia College of Education, Phagwara, also states that for the information, whichever relates with Ramgarhia College of Education, Phagwara, a reply dated 28.06.2022 in an affidavit has already been submitted, which is placed in the case-file. She also submits a fresh-reply dated 07.07.2022 signed by herself during the proceeding of the court and after discussing the case, she submits a request-letter, in writing, to give her that reply in an affidavit back as she coded wrong case number and year.
3. Nevertheless, the reply in an affidavit (submitted by Ms. Surinder Jit Kaur, Principal ) in original is handed over to her during the hearing.

4. Ms. Shalini, Superintendent-cum-APIO ; Ms. Ruchi Joshi, Senior Assistant and Sh. Navjeet Singh, Legal Assistant of office of D.P.I.(Colleges)(Pb.) S. A. S. Nagar, who appeared in today's hearing, state that the information relates to office of D. P. I.(Colleges), has already been supplied to the appellant and for part information, the RTI request of the appellant was transferred **vide letter no. 189 dated 22.02.2021** under Section 6(3) of the RTI Act to Principal, Ramgarhia College of Education, Phagwara. They further state that in compliance to the order dated 23.05.2022, the Deputy Director office of D.P.I.(Colleges)(Pb.) S. A. S. Nagar has sent a reply dated 22.07.2022 in an affidavit alongwith annexure, in response to the show-cause issued vide orders dated 23.05.2022. It is taken on record.

5. After going through the written-submission dated 22.07.2022, sent by the concerned PIO and finding the explanation genuine, no cause of action is required to be taken in this case, hence, the show cause issued vide orders dated 23.05.2022 is **dropped**.

6. Ms. Surinder Jit Kaur, Principal-cum-PIO of Ramgarhia College of Education, Phagwara, further intimates that no such letter or RTI request as stated above (letter no. 189 dated 22.02.2021), is received in the College.

7. Nevertheless, an opportunity is given to the respondent PIO concerned to bring the dispatch-register, showing that RTI request of the appellant was transferred vide letter no. 189 dated 22.02.2021 under Section 6(3) of the RTI Act to Principal, Ramgarhia College of Education, Phagwara in the office of State Information Commission, Punjab before the bench of the undersigned on the next date of hearing fixed so that facts of this case could be cleared.

8. With this, the case is **adjourned to 17<sup>th</sup> August, 2022 (Wednesday) at 12:00 P. M. for hearing.**

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date : 22<sup>nd</sup> July, 2022

(Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon)  
State Information Commissioner  
Punjab



Sushil Kumar  
H. No. 1410, Phase – I,  
Urban Estate, Dugri Road  
Ludhiana – 141013 (Punjab)  
(9814500575)

....Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o The Director General of Police, Pb.,  
Police Head Quarter,  
Sector - 9, Chandigarh

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/o The Director General of Police, Pb.,  
Police Head Quarter,  
Sector - 9, Chandigarh

...Respondents

### **Appeal Case No. 4944 of 2021**

Present : Sh. Sushil Kumar the appellant in person.  
i) Sh. Ramesh Kumar, A. S. I.(78378-03000) O/o Commissioner of Police,  
Ludhiana ;  
ii) Sh. Ravi Kumar, Constable(98884-30990) O/o Commissioner of Police,  
Ludhiana, for the respondent.

#### **ORDER**

The RTI request is dated 02.08.2021. First appeal is dated 06.09.2021. Second appeal has been received in the Commission on 28.10.2021.

2. The appellant, Sh. Sushil Kumar, who appeared in person in today's hearing, states that no information has been given to him till date.

3. Sh. Ramesh Kumar, A. S. I. and Sh. Ravi Kumar, Constable, both office of Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana who appeared in today's hearing, seeks an adjournment in this case.

4. Nevertheless, an opportunity is given to the respondent PIO concerned to send a point-wise reply to the queries raised by the appellant through his RTI request through registered post with a copy to the Commission by the next date of hearing.

5. With this, the case is **adjourned to 9<sup>th</sup> September, 2022 (Friday) at 12:00 P. M. for hearing.**

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date : 22<sup>nd</sup> July, 2022

(Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon)  
State Information Commissioner  
Punjab



Raghav Bali S/o Sh. Bharat Bhushan,  
House No. 36, Sector 4, Block A,  
SriFatehgarh Sahib – 147301(Punjab)  
(8054541526)

...Appellant

Versus

(Regd. Post) i) **Public Information Officer,**  
O/o The Deputy Commissioner,  
SriFatehgarh Sahib (Punjab)

(Regd. Post) ii) **Public Information Officer,**  
O/o The Superintendent,  
Maximum Security Jails,  
Mehs Gate, Thuhi Road,  
Nabha (Punjab)

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/o The Deputy Commissioner,  
SriFatehgarh Sahib (Punjab)

...Respondents

**Appeal Case No. 2163 of 2022**

Present : None on behalf of the appellant.  
None on behalf of the respondent.

**ORDER**

The RTI request is dated 16.02.2022. First appeal is dated 18.03.2022. Second appeal has been received in the Commission on 04.05.2022.

2. The appellant, Sh. Raghav Bali through an e-mail dated 18.07.2022, has intimated the Commission that he is unable to attend today's hearing and requested for an adjournment in this case. It is taken on record.

3 After examining the documents placed on record, it is found that the respondent PIO through a reply vide letter no. 316 dated 27.06.2022 has intimated that RTI request of the appellant has been transferred 300 dated 22.06.2022 to Public Information Officer office of Superintendent, Maximum Security Jails, Nabha under Section 6 (3) of the RTI Act with an intimation to the appellant.

4. Accordingly, Public Information Officer office of Superintendent, Maximum Security Jails, Nabha was impleaded as party in this case.

5. Neither the respondent PIO nor any of his representative is present.

6. Nevertheless, a copy of this order be sent through registered post to Superintendent, Maximum Security Jails, Nabha, with the directions to supply the certified copies of the required information to the appellant as per queries raised by him through RTI request with a copy to the Commission, by the next date of hearing

With this, the case is **adjourned to 9<sup>th</sup> September, 2022 (Friday) at 12:00 P. M. for hearing.**

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date : 22<sup>nd</sup> July, 2022

**(Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon)**  
State Information Commissioner  
Punjab